

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/781,215 02/17/2004		02/17/2004	Ray L. Westfall	2004-101A	1931	
38382	7590	05/20/2005		EXAMINER		
JOHN P. CO	OSTELL	O	PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU			
331 J STREE	ET, SUTIE	E 200				
SACRAMEN	NTO, CA	95814		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3724		

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					≥P
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
•		10/781,21	5	WESTFALL, RAY L.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Hwei-Siu C	<u> </u>	3724	
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication ply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORT THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by servived by the Office later than three months after the rest term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no eve n. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and will tatute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status					
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sind	ponsive to communication(s) filed on a action is FINAL . 2b) 2b to this application is in condition for alled the accordance with the practice uncompared in accordance with the practice uncompared in accordance.	This action is no owance except	for formal matters, pro		is
Disposition o	f Claims				
4a) 0 5)⊠ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)⊡ Clai	m(s) <u>8-11 and 19-24</u> is/are pending in of the above claim(s) <u>10,11 and 19-21</u> m(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are allowed. m(s) <u>22-24</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction a	is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
Application F	apers				
10)⊠ The Appl Repl	specification is objected to by the Example drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2004 is icant may not request that any objection to accement drawing sheet(s) including the contact or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ acc the drawing(s) b prection is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice of D 3) Information	deferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/781,215 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

Detailed Action

The amendment filed on 5-10-2005 has been entered. It is noted the withdrawn

claims 10, 11 and 19-21 depend from canceled claims.

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall

set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply

with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention.

The claimed fork end tapering into a shaft has no support from the original

specification.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/781,215 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724.

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (U.S.

Patent No. 1,809,254).

Smith discloses a cooking implement (see page 1, lines 1-3) comprising a hollow

sheath (10) closed at a first end and having an opposite female end; a utensil

comprising a fork end (1,1) for interacting with a food item, the fork end (1,1) tapering

into a shaft (3,3), the shaft (3,3) embedded into a male portion (9) opposite the fork end

(1,1), the male portion (9) of the utensil being insertable into the female end of the

sheath (10); and whereupon joining the male portion (9) and the female end, the sheath

(10) forming a handle, the sheath (12) being sufficiently elongate to allow a user to

safety manipulate food items being coked in an environment of heat and flames as

claimed.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 1.

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

2. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Smith (U.S. Patent No. 1,809,254) in view of Harmon et al. (U.S. Patent No.

6,877,232).

Smith's cooking implement as set forth shows all the claimed structure except

the sheath (10) and the male portion (9) are not made of heat resistant plastic.

Harmon et al. show a cooking implement comprising handle (7) made of heat

resistant plastic (see column 4, lines 50-63).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Smith by making

the sheath (10) and the male portion (9) out of heat resistant plastic to prevent a user's

hand holding the cooking implement from getting burned as taught by Harmon et al.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8 and 9 are allowed.

Action Made Final

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/781,215

Art Unit: 3724

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 5

18-12 Payer

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-

4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to

4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306

for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

H Payer

May 16, 2005